

RECORD OF DEFERRAL

SYDNEY EAST JOINT REGIONAL PLANNING PANEL

DATE OF DEFERRAL	28 July 2016
PANEL MEMBERS	John Roseth, Sue Francis, Julie Savet-Ward, Mark Castle, George Glinatsis
APOLOGIES	None
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	None

Public meeting held at Christies Conference Centre, 3 Spring Street, Sydney on 28 July 2016, opened at 12:30 PM and closed at 2:30 PM

MATTER DEFERRED

2016SYE007 - Botany Bay - DA15/191 - 7-9, 14-18, and 19-21 Chalmers Crescent, Mascot - Masterplan Application

VERBAL SUBMISSIONS

- Support none
- Object none
- On behalf of the applicant Michael Neustein, Penny Murray

REASONS FOR DEFERRAL

The Panel considered the recommendation of the assessment report to refuse the application, as well as the applicant's request to defer determination to allow the applicant to submit amended drawings. Following discussion, the council agreed that, on balance, deferral may be the appropriate way to proceed. For this reason the Panel agreed to defer the matter on the basis that the amended drawings will comply with the following.

- The application is to be specified as a Staged Development application under s83(b) of the EPA Act
 1979 involving a Concept Plan without a detailed application for any of the stages.
- Restrict the application to land for which owners consent has been or can be provided, and provide setbacks in accordance with the Council's controls.
- The applicant is to provide and dedicate to the public as road suitable land for the creation of a culde-sac at the end of Chalmers Crescent, sufficient for use by articulated vehicles.
- The applicant is to provide appropriate evidence under clause 7 of SEPP 55 that the land is suitable or could be made suitable for the proposed use.
- Compliance with the required LEP FSR of 3:1;
- Provide adequate justification under clause 4.6 of the LEP as to any breach of the LEP height limit.
- Demonstrate that vehicles can ingress and egress the site in accordance with Australian Standards and Council's controls, with particular attention to service vehicles.
- Comply with the LEP requirements in relation to acid sulfate soils.

In the Panel's view, it is appropriate to re-exhibit the application because it is likely to involve the moving of one or more buildings.

When this information has been received and a supplementary report prepared, the panel will determine the application.

The decision to defer the matter was unanimous. The Panel adjourned during the meeting to deliberate on the matter and formulate a resolution.

PANEL N	IEMBERS //
John Roseth (Chair) John Rosell	Sue Francis
Julie Savet-Ward She Savet Ward	Mark Castle Mark Castle
George Glinatsis	